

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,727	10/10/2003		Arthur Sherman	ASMMC.9CP1DV1C1	1627
20995	7590	09/15/2006		EXAN	IINER
KNOBBE M 2040 MAIN S		STOUFFER	STOUFFER, KELLY M		
FOURTEENT	H FLOOI	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614			1762	·

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	j	10/683,727	SHERMAN, ARTHUR			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kelly Stouffer	1762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres			
Period fo	or Reply			•		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period yer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O	ctober 2003				
	•	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		s, prosecution as to the me	erits is		
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims	, , ,	•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stag	је		
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
	,					
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948),		fail Date mal Patent Application			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mai i atom Application			

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a sequential vapor deposition process with aluminum oxide, classified in class 247, subclass 248.1.
- II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a process for joining two parts together, classified in class 156, subclass 1.
- III. Claims 10-16, drawn to a process to coat a fiber, classified in class 247, subclass 162.
- IV. Claim 17, drawn to a sequential vapor deposition process with oxynitride, classified in class 247, subclass 248.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in group I and in group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the process in group I does not require joining two parts together, and the process in group II does not require trimethylaluminum and atomic oxygen or a cycle where more than one monolayer of aluminum oxide is formed.

Inventions in group I and in group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In

Art Unit: 1762

the instant case, the process in group I does not require coating a fiber and the process in group III does not require trimethylaluminum and atomic oxygen or a cycle where more than one monolayer of aluminum oxide is formed.

Inventions in group I and in group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group I requires trimethylaluminum to make an aluminum film and the invention in group IV requires an element of oxynitride to be formed that does not use aluminum.

Inventions in groups II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention of group II does not provide for coating a fiber and the invention of group III does not provide for joining two parts together.

Inventions in groups II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group II uses aluminum oxide to join two pieces together and the invention in group IV coats a surface with oxynitride and does not provide for joining two pieces together.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs,

Art Unit: 1762

modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention in group III coats a fiber with aluminum oxide and the invention in group IV coats a substrate with oxynitride and does not provide for coating a fiber.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Andrew Merickel on 30 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1762

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER